A new technique for solving singular IVPs of Emden-Fowler type

Necdet BİLDİK[1*] and Sinan DENİZ[1]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Celal Bayar University, 45040 Manisa, Turkey.
e-mails: n.bildik@cbu.edu.tr, sinan.deniz@cbu.edu.tr.

arXiv:1604.05344v1 [math.CA] 18 Apr 2016

Abstract

A new analytic approximate technique for addressing nonlinear problems, namely the optimal perturbation iteration method, is introduced and implemented to singular initial value Lane-Emden type problems to test the effectiveness and performance of the method. This technique provides us to adjust the convergence regions when necessary.Comparing different methods reveals that the proposed method is highly accurate and has great potential to be a new kind of powerful analytical tool for nonlinear differential equations.

1 Introduction

Many problems of science and engineering lead to different types of differential equations and it is still very hard to solve them in the presence of strong nonlinearity. In order to cope with this issue, there has been much attention devoted to investigate better and more efficient solution techniques such as homotopy decomposition method [1, 2], auxiliary equation method [3, 4],homotopy perturbation method [5, 6],Taylor collocation method [7–9],Sumudu transform method[10] and Adomian decomposition method [11–14].

Emden-Fowler equation is one of the most important differential equations of mathematical physics. It distinctively characterizes many scientific phenomena. The generalized Emden-Fowler equation is defined in the following form

$$y'' + \alpha(x)y' + \beta(x)\gamma(y) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(y)$ are some arbitrary functions.For different $\gamma(y)$, the Eq. (1.1) has been subject of many studies in the literature such as the theory of stellar structure, thermionic currents and isothermal gas spheres. When $\alpha(x) = \frac{k}{x}, \beta(x) = \beta_0 x^r, \gamma(y) = y^s$ (k and β_0 are constants, s and r are real numbers), Eq.(1.1) reduces to the classic Emden-Fowler equation:

$$y'' + \frac{k}{x}y' + \beta_0 x^r y^s = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Furthermore, by choosing $r = 0$ and $k = 2$, we get the standard Lane-Emden equation

$$y'' + \frac{2}{x}y' + \beta_0 y^s = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

which arises in astrophysics. Eq. (1.2) is also used to model the thermal behavior of a spherical cloud of gas acting under the mutual attraction of its molecules. Many analytical techniques have been considered by various researchers to obtain the approximate solutions for these types of equations [15–18].

Perturbation iteration method has been developed recently by Pakdemirli et al and it has been successfully implemented to some strongly nonlinear systems[19–22].In this paper, new optimal perturbation iteration algorithms(OPIA) are constructed based on perturbation iteration method. Then the simplest OPIA is applied to obtain reliable approximate solutions to the Lane-Emden equations

$$y'' + \frac{2}{x}y' + \beta(x)\gamma(y) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

with different choices of $\beta(x), \gamma(y)$. It is also proved that this method enables us to control the convergence of solution series for the given illustrations.

*Corresponding author

Key words: Optimal perturbation iteration method, singular initial value problems , Lane-Emden equation.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 34E20, 34A12, 85A04

2 Optimal Perturbation Iteration Algorithms

In this section, the following formulation is given to explain the basic concept of OPIAs for second order differential equations.

(a) Write the governing differential equation as:

$$F(y'', y', y, \varepsilon) = A(y) + g(x) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

where ε is the auxiliary perturbation parameter, $y = y(x)$ is the unknown function and $g(x)$ is the source term. Furthermore,(2.1) can be decomposed into $A(y) = L(y) + N(y)$ where L, N are linear and nonlinear parts respectively. Here we have a great freedom to choose linear part L.

(b) Approximate solution is taken as

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \varepsilon(y_c)_n \tag{2.2}$$

with one correction term in the perturbation expansion. Substituting the Eq. (2.2) into (2.1) and expanding Taylor series will create the algorithms. OPIAs are classified with respect to the degrees of derivatives in the Taylor expansions(m). Briefly, this process is represented as OPIA-m. OPIA-1 and OPIA-2 are constructed below.

OPIA-1

Inserting (2.2) into the nonlinear part of the (2.1) and expanding in a Taylor series with first derivatives yields

$$N({y_n}'', {y_n}', y_n, 0) + N_y(y_c)_n\varepsilon + N_{y'}(y_c')_n\varepsilon + N_{y''}(y_c'')_n\varepsilon + N_\varepsilon\varepsilon = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

or by reorganizing

$$({y_c}'')_n + \frac{N_{y'}}{N_{y''}}({y_c}')_n + \frac{N_y}{N_{y''}}(y_c)_n = -\frac{\frac{N}{\varepsilon} + N_\varepsilon}{N_{y''}}. \tag{2.4}$$

OPIA-2

Using the second order derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &N(y_n'', y_n', y_n, 0) + N_y(y_c)_n\varepsilon + N_{y'}(y_c')_n\varepsilon + N_{y''}(y_c'')_n\varepsilon + N_\varepsilon\varepsilon + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 N_{y''y''}(y_c'')_n^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 N_{y'y'}(y_c')_n^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 N_{yy}(y_c)_n^2 + \\ &\varepsilon^2 N_{y''y'}(y_c'')_n(y_c')_n + \varepsilon^2 N_{y'y}(y_c')_n(y_c)_n + \varepsilon^2 N_{y''y}(y_c'')_n(y_c)_n + N_{\varepsilon y''}(y_c'')_n\varepsilon^2 + N_{\varepsilon y'}(y_c')_n\varepsilon^2 + N_{\varepsilon y}(y_c)_n\varepsilon^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 N_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

or by rearranging we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(y_c'')_n\left(\varepsilon N_{y''} + \varepsilon^2 N_{\varepsilon y''}\right) + (y_c')_n\left(\varepsilon N_{y'} + \varepsilon^2 N_{\varepsilon y'}\right) + (y_c)_n\left(\varepsilon N_y + \varepsilon^2 N_{\varepsilon y}\right) + (y_c)_n^2\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{2}N_{yy}\right) + (y_c'')_n^2\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{2}N_{y''y''}\right) \\ &+(y_c')_n^2\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{2}N_{y'y'}\right) + (y_c)_n(y_c')_n\left(\varepsilon^2 N_{y'y}\right) + (y_c'')_n(y_c')_n\left(\varepsilon^2 N_{y'y''}\right) + (y_c'')_n(y_c)_n\left(\varepsilon^2 N_{yy''}\right) = -N - N_\varepsilon\varepsilon - \tfrac{\varepsilon^2 N_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

It should be emphasized that all derivatives and functions are calculated at $\varepsilon = 0$. To describe the iterative scheme, first correction terms $(y_c)_0$ can be computed from (2.4) and (2.6) by using an initial guess y_0.

The Eqs. (2.4) and (2.6) may seem very complicated at first, but it should not be forgotten that we use the general form of the differential equations of second order to illustrate the proposed method. Actually, most differential equations in literature contain only some of the nonlinear terms y, y', y''. So,the Eqs.(2.4) and (2.6) reduce to some simple mathematical expressions in many cases.

c) After obtaining $(y_c)_0$ for each OPIA-m, we use the following equation

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + S_n(\varepsilon)(y_c)_n \tag{2.7}$$

to increase the accuracy of the results and effectiveness of the method. Here $S_n(\varepsilon)$ is an auxiliary function which provides us to adjust the convergence. The choices of functions $S_n(\varepsilon)$ could be exponential, trigonometric,polynomial,etc. In this study, we select auxiliary function in the form

$$S_n(\varepsilon) = C_0 + \varepsilon C_1 + \varepsilon^2 C_2 + \varepsilon^3 C_3 + \cdots = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \varepsilon^i C_i \tag{2.8}$$

where $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ are constants which are to be determined later.
Substituting $(y_c)_0$ into Eq.(2.7) yields:

$$y_1 = y_0 + S_0(\varepsilon)(y_c)_0 = y_0 + C_0(y_c)_0. \tag{2.9}$$

By using initial and boundary conditions , the first approximate result is obtained:

$$y_1 = y(x, C_0). \tag{2.10}$$

Repeating the similar steps by using the Eq.(2.10) and setting $\varepsilon = 1$, other approximate results are found as:

$$\begin{aligned} &y_2(x, C_0, C_1) = y_1 + S_1(\varepsilon)(y_c)_0 = y_1 + (C_0 + C_1)\,(y_c)_1 \\ &y_3(x, C_0, C_1, C_2) = y_2 + (C_0 + C_1 + C_2)\,(y_c)_2 \\ &\quad\vdots \\ &y_m(x, C_0, \ldots, C_{m-1}) = y_{m-1} + (C_0 + \cdots + C_{m-1})\,(y_c)_{m-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

d) Substitute y_m into the Eq.(2.1) and the general problem results in the following residual:

$$R(x, C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1}) = L\left(y_m(x, C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1})\right) + N\left(y_m(x, C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1})\right) + g(x). \tag{2.12}$$

Obviously, when $R(x, C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1}) = 0$ then the approximation $y_m(x, C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1})$ will be the exact solution. Generally it doesn't occur in nonlinear equations. To obtain the optimum values of $C_1, C_2, \ldots$; one may use the method of least squares:

$$J(C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1}) = \int_a^b R^2(x, C_1, \ldots, C_{m-1})dx \tag{2.13}$$

where a and b are selected from the domain of the problem. The constants $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ can also be defined from

$$R(x_1, C_i) = R(x_2, C_i) = \cdots = R(x_m, C_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \tag{2.14}$$

where $x_i \in (a, b)$. Putting these constants into the last one of the Eqs. (2.11), the approximate solution of order m is determined.

3 Applications

In this section, we only consider OPIA-1 to solve the problems. Obtained results show that even the simplest algorithm gives better solutions when compared with many other methods.

Example 3.1 *Consider the following homogeneous Lane-Emden type equation [23, 24]:*

$$y'' + \frac{2}{x}y' - (4x^2 + 6)y = 0, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 0, 0 \le x \le 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Rewrite the Eq. (3.1) as:

$$F(y'', y', y, \varepsilon) = y'' + \varepsilon\left(\frac{2}{x}y' - (4x^2 + 6)y\right) = L(y) + N(y) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

where $L = y''$ and $N(y) = \varepsilon\left(\frac{2}{x}y' - (4x^2 + 6)y\right)$. Eq. (2.3) simplifies to:

$$N(y_n, 0) + N_y(y_c)_n\varepsilon + N_{y'}({y_c}')_n\varepsilon + N_\varepsilon\varepsilon = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

Using the linear terms with Eqs. (2.2), (3.3) and setting $\varepsilon = 1$ yields

$${(y_c)''}_n = -(y_n)'' - \frac{2}{x}(y_n)' + (4x^2 + 6)y_n. \tag{3.4}$$

One may select $y_0 = 1$ as a starting guess which satisfies the given initial conditions. Using the Eqs. (2.7)and (3.4),we have

$$y_1 = 1 + C_0\left(\frac{x^4}{3} + 3x^2\right). \tag{3.5}$$

It should be emphasized that y_1 does not represent the first correction term; rather it is the approximate solution after the first iteration. By using the procedure mentioned in Section 2, one obtains the following approximate solutions:

$$y_2 = 1 + C_0\left(\frac{x^4}{3} + 3x^2\right) + (C_0 + C_1)\left[\frac{x^2}{630}\left(15C_0x^6 + 294C_0x^4 + 595C_0x^2 - 5670C_0 + 210x^2 + 1890\right)\right] \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_3 = {} & 1 + C_0\left(\tfrac{x^4}{3} + 3x^2\right) + (C_0 + C_1)\left[\tfrac{x^2}{630}\left(15C_0x^6 + 294C_0x^4 + 595C_0x^2 - 5670C_0 + 210x^2 + 1890\right)\right] + \\ & \tfrac{(C_0+C_1+C_2)x^2}{727650} \times \left[\begin{array}{l} 525C_0^2x^{10} + 525C_0C_1x^{10} + 16247C_0^2x^8 + 16247C_0C_1x^8 + 63195C_0^2x^6 + 34650C_0x^6 + \\ 63195C_0C_1x^6 + 17325C_1x^6 - 1211133C_0^2x^4 + 679140C_0x^4 - 1211133C_0C_1x^4 \\ +339570C_1x^4 - 4419800C_0^2x^2 + 1374450C_0x^2 - 4419800C_0C_1x^2 + 687225C_1x^2 \\ +19646550C_0^2 - 13097700C_0 + 19646550C_0C_1 - 6548850C_1 + 242550x^2 + 2182950 \end{array}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Unknown constants can be obtained from the residual

$$R(x, C_0, C_1, C_2) = L\left(y_3(x, C_0, C_1, C_2)\right) + N\left(y_3(x, C_0, C_1, C_2)\right) \tag{3.8}$$

for the third order approximation. Using the Eq. (2.14) with $x = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$ yields

$$C_0 = 0.3342343984217452, C_1 = 0.31859877627965916, C_2 = 0.20764389922289617 \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting these constants into the Eq.(3.7), the approximate solution of the third order is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} & y_3(x) = 1.000000000101 + 1.0040975062848503x^2 + 0.48364749266573837x^4 + \\ & 0.18568062044855377x^6 + 0.04172402028090389x^8 + 0.00419221270969861x^{10} \\ & +0.00013546572737070046x^{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Repeating the same steps one can get the following approximations:

$$\begin{aligned} & y_4(x) = 1.000000000002862 + 0.9999999996360626x^2 + 0.5000000125635662x^4 \\ & +0.1666664827590984x^6 + 0.0416680355705590x^8 + 0.0083276885417629x^{10} \\ & +0.00140218809407533x^{12} + 0.0001808480143782x^{14} + 0.0000365711746672x^{16}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & y_5(x) = 1.000000000000001 + 0.9999999999998731x^2 + 0.5000000000060835x^4 \\ & +0.166666666533135x^6 + 0.04166666825594757x^8 + 0.00833332219438660x^{10} \\ & +0.00138893720414772 7x^{12} + 0.00019828100274015x^{14} + 0.00002502606922100 9x^{16} \\ & +2.5264083250622193 \times 10^{-6}x^{18} + 4.007880863972304 \times 10^{-7}x^{20}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Exact solution of the problem is $y = e^{x^2}$ .This problem has been investigated by Ozis et al using variational iteration method(VIM) and homotopy perturbation method (HPM) [23, 24]. Figures 3.1, 3.2 and Table 1 give important information on the convergence of the absolute errors of OPIAs and other approximate solutions in literature. It is clear that the results obtained by OPIM are more accurate than those in [23, 24] .

Example 3.2 *Consider the Lane-Emden type equation [17, 25, 26]:*

$$y'' + \frac{2}{x}y' + e^y = 0, y(0) = y'(0) = 0 \tag{3.13}$$

which represents the isothermal gas spheres equation in the case that the temperature stays constant.

Reconsider the Eq. (3.13) as:

$$F(y'', y', y, \varepsilon) = y'' + (\frac{2\varepsilon}{x}y' + e^{\varepsilon y}) = L(y) + N(y) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

where $L = y''$ and $N(y) = (\frac{2\varepsilon}{x}y' + e^{\varepsilon y})$. By using the Eqs. (2.2), (3.3),(3.14) and setting $\varepsilon = 1$, we have

Table 1: Comparison of absolute errors for Example 1 at different orders of approximations.

x	Errors for OPIA-1		Errors for VIM and HPM	
	$\lvert y_{exact} - y_4 \rvert$	$\lvert y_{exact} - y_5 \rvert$	$\lvert y_{exact} - y_4 \rvert$	$\lvert y_{exact} - y_5 \rvert$
0.1	3.08426×10^{-13}	2.22045×10^{-16}	1.11022×10^{-15}	1.00128×10^{-16}
0.2	3.85914×10^{-13}	2.22045×10^{-16}	5.72165×10^{-12}	3.26406×10^{-14}
0.3	5.62883×10^{-13}	1.00025×10^{-17}	7.47710×10^{-10}	9.59788×10^{-12}
0.4	9.64347×10^{-13}	2.44249×10^{-15}	2.38451×10^{-8}	5.43454×10^{-10}
0.5	1.95532×10^{-12}	1.50998×10^{-14}	3.51584×10^{-7}	1.24994×10^{-8}
0.6	4.77649×10^{-12}	1.01037×10^{-13}	3.18608×10^{-6}	1.62772×10^{-7}
0.7	5.45375×10^{-11}	5.02709×10^{-13}	0.0000206568	1.43282×10^{-6}
0.8	2.78031×10^{-11}	2.05902×10^{-12}	0.000104921	9.47740×10^{-6}
0.9	3.08426×10^{-10}	6.38223×10^{-12}	0.000442699	0.000050436
1	2.10201×10^{-9}	2.90235×10^{-12}	0.00161516	0.000226273



(a) OPIA-1 solution of second order.(•)



(b) VIM solution of second order.(•)

Figure 3.1: Comparison with the exact solution(–) for Example 1.



(a) OPIA-1 solution of fifth order.



(b) VIM solution of fifth order.

Figure 3.2: Absolute errors for OPIA-1 and the variational iteration method for Example 1.

$$(y_c)''_n = -(y_n)'' - \frac{2}{x}(y_n)' - y_n - 1. \tag{3.15}$$

By choosing $y_0 = 0$ as a starting guess, using the Eqs. (2.7), (3.15) with initial conditions, we get

$$y_1 = \frac{-C_0}{2}(x^2) \tag{3.16}$$

$$y_2 = \frac{-C_0}{2}(x^2) + \frac{1}{24}(C_0 + C_1)\, x^2 \left(C_0 x^2 + 36C_0 - 12\right) \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} y_3 = \dfrac{-C_0}{2}(x^2) + \dfrac{1}{24}(C_0 + C_1)\, x^2 \left(C_0 x^2 + 36C_0 - 12\right) + \\ \dfrac{C_0 + C_1 + C_2}{720} \left[\begin{array}{l} -C_0^2 x^6 - C_0 C_1 x^6 - 140 C_0^2 x^4 + 60 C_0 x^4 - 140 C_0 C_1 x^4 + 30 C_1 x^4 \\ -3240 C_0^2 x^2 + 2160 C_0 x^2 - 3240 C_0 C_1 x^2 + 1080 C_1 x^2 - 360 x^2 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \tag{3.18}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} y_4 = \dfrac{-C_0}{2}(x^2) + \dfrac{1}{24}(C_0 + C_1)\, x^2 \left(C_0 x^2 + 36C_0 - 12\right) + \\ \dfrac{C_0 + C_1 + C_2}{720} \left[\begin{array}{l} -C_0^2 x^6 - C_0 C_1 x^6 - 140 C_0^2 x^4 + 60 C_0 x^4 - 140 C_0 C_1 x^4 + 30 C_1 x^4 \\ -3240 C_0^2 x^2 + 2160 C_0 x^2 - 3240 C_0 C_1 x^2 + 1080 C_1 x^2 - 360 x^2 \end{array} \right] + \\ \dfrac{C_0 + C_1 + C_2 + C_3}{604800} \left[\begin{array}{l} 15 C_0^3 x^8 + 15 C_0 C_1^2 x^8 + 30 C_0^2 C_1 x^8 + 15 C_0^2 C_2 x^8 + 15 C_0 C_1 C_2 x^8 + 5096 C_0^3 x^6 - 2520 C_0^2 x^6 + \\ 5096 C_0 C_1^2 x^6 - 840 C_1^2 x^6 + 10192 C_0^2 C_1 x^6 - 3360 C_0 C_1 x^6 + 5096 C_0^2 C_2 x^6 - 1680 C_0 C_2 x^6 \\ +5096 C_0 C_1 C_2 x^6 - 840 C_1 C_2 x^6 + 422800 C_0^3 x^4 - 352800 C_0^2 x^4 + 422800 C_0 C_1^2 x^4 - 117600 C_1^2 x^4 \\ +75600 C_0 x^4 + 845600 C_0^2 C_1 x^4 - 470400 C_0 C_1 x^4 + 50400 C_1 x^4 + 422800 C_0^2 C_2 x^4 - 2352 C_2 x^4 + \\ 422800 C_0 C_1 C_2 x^4 - 117600 C_1 C_2 x^4 + 25200 C_2 x^4 + 8164800 C_0^3 x^2 - 8164800 C_0^2 x^2 + 816 C_0 C_1^2 x^2 \\ -2721600 C_1^2 x^2 + 2721600 C_0 x^2 + 16329600 C_0^2 C_1 x^2 - 10886400 C_0 C_1 x^2 + 1814400 C_1 x^2 \\ -5443200 C_0 C_2 x^2 + 8164800 C_0 C_1 C_2 x^2 - 2721600 C_1 C_2 x^2 + 907200 C_2 x^2 - 302400 x^2 \end{array} \right] \\ \vdots \end{array} \tag{3.19}$$

Using the Eq. (2.14) with the residual

$$R(x, C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3) = L\left(y_4(x, C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3)\right) + N\left(y_3(x, C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3)\right) \tag{3.20}$$

the unknown constants are obtained as

$$C_0 = 2.0203622551, C_1 = -1.0201147822, C_2 = -0.9963202221, C_3 = 0.020789994 \tag{3.21}$$

for the fourth order approximation. Substituting the Eq.(3.21) into (3.19) yields:

$$y_4(x) = -0.15989962328x^2 + 0.007920058473x^4 + -0.005608897215631x^6 + 0.000039055217456x^8. \tag{3.22}$$

Figure 3.3 is sketched for comparison of the higher order approximate solutions of OPIM and analytical results given by other methods in literature [25, 27].It is also clear from the Figure 3.3 that OPIA-1 solutions are valid in larger region.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, OPIM is applied for the first time to investigate the new approximate solutions for Lane-Emden type differential equations. This new technique provides us to optimally control the convergence of solution series. Also,it gives a very good approximation even in a few terms to these kinds of nonlinear equations. The results obtained in this paper proves that the OPIM is a very effective technique for differential equations. It is worth mentioning that, a symbolic program is necessary for successive calculations after a few iterations.Mathematica 9 has been used to overcome the complicated calculations for this present research.



Figure 3.3: Comparison between the results obtained by OPIM,ADM,DTM and the numerical results for Example 2.

References

[1] Abdon Atangana and Adem Kılıçman. Analytical solutions of boundary values problem of 2d and 3d poisson and biharmonic equations by homotopy decomposition method. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2013. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2013.

[2] Abdon Atangana and Samir Brahim Belhaouari. Solving partial differential equation with space-and time-fractional derivatives via homotopy decomposition method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013, 2013.

[3] Zehra Pınar and Turgut Öziş. Observations on the class of "balancing principle" for nonlinear pdes that can be treated by the auxiliary equation method. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 23:9–16, 2015.

[4] Zehra Pinar, Abhishek Dutta, Guido Bény, and Turgut Özis. Analytical solution of population balance equation involving growth, nucleation and aggregation in terms of auxiliary equation method. *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, 9(5):2467, 2015.

[5] Mehmet Giyas Sakar, Fatih Uludag, and Fevzi Erdogan. Numerical solution of time-fractional nonlinear pdes with proportional delays by homotopy perturbation method. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 2016.

[6] Asma Ali Elbeleze, Adem Kılıçman, and Bachok M Taib. Homotopy perturbation method for fractional black-scholes european option pricing equations using sumudu transform. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013, 2013.

[7] N Bildik and S Deniz. Comparison of solutions of systems of delay differential equations using taylor collocation method, lambert w function and variational iteration method. *Scientia Iranica. Transaction D, Computer Science & Engineering, Electrical*, 22(3):1052, 2015.

[8] Sinan Deniz and Necdet Bildik. Comparison of adomian decomposition method and taylor matrix method in solving different kinds of partial differential equations. *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, 4(4):292, 2014.

[9] Mehmet Sezer. Taylor polynomial solutions of volterra integral equations. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 25(5):625–633, 1994.

[10] Hasan Bulut, Haci Mehmet Baskonus, and Fethi Bin Muhammad Belgacem. The analytical solution of some fractional ordinary differential equations by the sumudu transform method. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2013. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2013.

[11] Hasan Bulut, Mahmut Ergüt, Vedat Asil, and Roza Horvath Bokor. Numerical solution of a viscous incompressible flow problem through an orifice by adomian decomposition method. *Applied mathematics and computation*, 153(3): 733–741, 2004.

[12] Saeid Abbasbandy. Improving newton–raphson method for nonlinear equations by modified adomian decomposition method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 145(2):887–893, 2003.

[13] Necdet Bildik and Ali Konuralp. The use of variational iteration method, differential transform method and adomian decomposition method for solving different types of nonlinear partial differential equations. *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation*, 7(1):65–70, 2006.

[14] Necdet Bildik, Ali Konuralp, Funda Orakçı Bek, and Semih Küçükarslan. Solution of different type of the partial differential equation by differential transform method and adomian's decomposition method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 172(1):551–567, 2006.

[15] Shijun Liao. A new analytic algorithm of lane–emden type equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 142 (1):1–16, 2003.

[16] Ji-Huan He. Variational approach to the lane–emden equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 143(2): 539–541, 2003.

[17] K Parand, Mehdi Dehghan, AR Rezaei, and SM Ghaderi. An approximation algorithm for the solution of the nonlinear lane–emden type equations arising in astrophysics using hermite functions collocation method. *Computer Physics Communications*, 181(6):1096–1108, 2010.

[18] James SW Wong. On the generalized emden-fowler equation. *Siam Review*, 17(2):339–360, 1975.

[19] Yiğit Aksoy and Mehmet Pakdemirli. New perturbation–iteration solutions for bratu-type equations. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 59(8):2802–2808, 2010.

[20] Yigit Aksoy, Mehmet Pakdemirli, Saeid Abbasbandy, and Hakan Boyaci. New perturbation-iteration solutions for nonlinear heat transfer equations. *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow*, 22(7):814–828, 2012.

[21] Mehmet Şenol, İhsan Timuçin Dolapçı, Yiğit Aksoy, and Mehmet Pakdemirli. Perturbation-iteration method for first-order differential equations and systems. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2013. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2013.

[22] İhsan Timuçin Dolapçı, Mehmet Şenol, and Mehmet Pakdemirli. New perturbation iteration solutions for fredholm and volterra integral equations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2013, 2013.

[23] Ahmet Yıldırım and Turgut Öziş. Solutions of singular ivps of lane–emden type by the variational iteration method. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 70(6):2480–2484, 2009.

[24] Ahmet Yıldırım and Turgut Öziş. Solutions of singular ivps of lane–emden type by homotopy perturbation method. *Physics Letters A*, 369(1):70–76, 2007.

[25] Yasir Khan, Zdeněk Svoboda, and Zdeněk Šmarda. Solving certain classes of lane-emden type equations using the differential transformation method. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2012(1):1–11, 2012.

[26] Mehdi Dehghan and Fatemeh Shakeri. Approximate solution of a differential equation arising in astrophysics using the variational iteration method. *New Astronomy*, 13(1):53–59, 2008.

[27] Abdul-Majid Wazwaz and Randolph Rach. Comparison of the adomian decomposition method and the variational iteration method for solving the lane-emden equations of the first and second kinds. *Kybernetes*, 40(9/10):1305–1318, 2011.